

Tractable Optimization for Multilinear Uncertainty

INFORMS Annual Meeting 2024

Kartikey Sharma

21st of October 2024





Results are joint work with...



Eojin Han University of Notre Dame Omid Nohadani Benefits Science Technologies

Kartikey Sharma

Tractable Optimization for Multilinear Uncertainty 2 / 15



• Presence of Products of Uncertain terms.

$$1 \to \xi_1 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \to \ldots \to \prod_{t=1}^T \xi_t$$



• Presence of Products of Uncertain terms.

$$1 \to \xi_1 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \to \ldots \to \prod_{t=1}^T \xi_t$$

• Leads to nonlinear uncertainty dependence



Presence of Products of Uncertain terms.

$$1 \to \xi_1 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \to \ldots \to \prod_{t=1}^T \xi_t$$

- Leads to nonlinear uncertainty dependence
- **Balking**: A fraction of the queue departs at every time step. Examples: call centers, health care systems, etc.



Presence of Products of Uncertain terms.

$$1 \to \xi_1 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \to \ldots \to \prod_{t=1}^T \xi_t$$

- Leads to nonlinear uncertainty dependence
- **Balking**: A fraction of the queue departs at every time step. Examples: call centers, health care systems, etc.
- **Compounding**: The initial quantity increases by a percentage in every time period. Examples: interest, economic and population growth etc.



Presence of Products of Uncertain terms.

$$1 \to \xi_1 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \to \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \to \ldots \to \prod_{t=1}^T \xi_t$$

- Leads to nonlinear uncertainty dependence
- **Balking**: A fraction of the queue departs at every time step. Examples: call centers, health care systems, etc.
- **Compounding**: The initial quantity increases by a percentage in every time period. Examples: interest, economic and population growth etc.
- **Depreciation and Shrinkage**: The initial quantity decreases by a fixed fraction over time. Examples: Financial depreciation, damage, loss due to theft



• Uncertain vector $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_T)$ with Uncertainty Set Ξ .



- Uncertain vector $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_T)$ with Uncertainty Set Ξ .
- Objective functions and constraints involve multilinear uncertainty terms. General representation

$$\mathbf{p}^{ op} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \geq q_0 \ \ \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi \ ext{where} \ g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}_n} \xi_i$$



- Uncertain vector $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_T)$ with Uncertainty Set Ξ .
- Objective functions and constraints involve multilinear uncertainty terms. General representation

$$\mathbf{p}^{ op} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \geq q_0 \;\; orall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi \; ext{where} \; g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}_n} \xi_i$$

Example

$$\mathbf{p}^{ op}\xi + q_1\xi_1\xi_2 + q_2\xi_2\xi_3 + q_3\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 \ge q_0 \ \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi$$

Here, $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{1,2\}, \ \mathcal{J}_2 = \{2,3\}, \ \mathcal{J}_3 = \{1,2,3\}$

• Nonlinear and non-convex function of the uncertainty.



Various works have looked at reformulation constraints with different types of uncertainty dependence

- Bilinear Uncertainty: Peng et al. (2017), Zhen et al. (2021)
- Non-convex quadratic Uncertainty: Xu and Hanasusanto (2023)
- Multilinear uncertainty: Georghiou et al. (2015)
- Convex relaxations of multilinear terms: Ryoo and Sahinidis (2001), Luedtke et al. (2012)



• **Observation**: Constraints with Bilinear Uncertainty can be tractably reformulated for box uncertainty sets



- **Observation**: Constraints with Bilinear Uncertainty can be tractably reformulated for box uncertainty sets
- Idea: Identify settings in which the collection of multilinear terms in a constraint can be expressed as a sequence of bilinear terms



- **Observation**: Constraints with Bilinear Uncertainty can be tractably reformulated for box uncertainty sets
- Idea: Identify settings in which the collection of multilinear terms in a constraint can be expressed as a sequence of bilinear terms
- Example: Consider the constraint

$$x_1\xi_1\xi_2+x_2\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\geq 1 \; \forall \boldsymbol{\xi}\in \Xi$$

Then

$$x_1\eta_1 + x_2\eta_2 \ge 1 \; \forall (\eta_1, \eta_2, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \Xi' := \{ (\boldsymbol{\xi}, \; \xi_1\xi_2, \; \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3) : \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi \}$$

where $\eta_1 = \xi_1 \xi_2$ and $\eta_2 = \eta_1 \xi_3$.

Kartikey Sharma

Tractable Optimization for Multilinear Uncertainty 6 / 15



• We can represent the collection of multilinear terms in any constraints as a tree.



- We can represent the collection of multilinear terms in any constraints as a tree.
- At each node, we add a new uncertain expression to the linear or bilinear expression present in the parent node.





- What does the set involving both $\pmb{\xi}$ and $\pmb{\eta}$ look like.



- What does the set involving both $\pmb{\xi}$ and $\pmb{\eta}$ look like.
- At node i, let l(i) be the parent node and k^{*}_i be the index of the uncertain term added at node i



Lifted Uncertainty Set

- What does the set involving both $\pmb{\xi}$ and $\pmb{\eta}$ look like.
- At node i, let l(i) be the parent node and k^{*}_i be the index of the uncertain term added at node i
- Let <u>η</u>_i and <u>η</u>_i be products of the component wise minimum and maximums of elements ξ which form η_i



Lifted Uncertainty Set

- What does the set involving both $\pmb{\xi}$ and $\pmb{\eta}$ look like.
- At node i, let l(i) be the parent node and k^{*}_i be the index of the uncertain term added at node i
- Let <u>η</u>_i and <u>η</u>_i be products of the component wise minimum and maximums of elements ξ which form η_i

$$\overline{\Xi} := \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{K+N} & \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \overline{\Xi}, \ \eta_i = \xi_{k_i^*} & \forall i : \ell(i) = 0\\ \eta_i \geq \overline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \xi_{k_i^*} + \overline{\xi}_{k_i^*} \eta_{\ell(i)} - \overline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \overline{\xi}_{k_i^*} & \forall i : \ell(i) \neq 0\\ \eta_i \geq \underline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \xi_{k_i^*} + \underline{\xi}_{k_i^*} \eta_{\ell(i)} - \underline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \underline{\xi}_{k_i^*} & \forall i : \ell(i) \neq 0\\ \eta_i \leq \overline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \xi_{k_i^*} + \underline{\xi}_{k_i^*} \eta_{\ell(i)} - \overline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \underline{\xi}_{k_i^*} & \forall i : \ell(i) \neq 0\\ \eta_i \leq \underline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \xi_{k_i^*} + \overline{\xi}_{k_i^*} \eta_{\ell(i)} - \underline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \overline{\xi}_{k_i^*} & \forall i : \ell(i) \neq 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$



$$\mathbf{p}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \ge q_0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi \quad (1) \qquad \mathbf{p}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n \eta_n \ge q_0 \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \overline{\Xi} \quad (2)$$



$$\mathbf{p}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \ge q_0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi \quad (1) \qquad \mathbf{p}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n \eta_n \ge q_0 \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \overline{\Xi} \quad (2)$$

Theorem

The constraint (2) is a conservative reformulation of the constraint (1).



$$\mathbf{p}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \ge q_0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi \quad (1) \qquad \mathbf{p}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n \eta_n \ge q_0 \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \overline{\Xi} \quad (2)$$

Theorem

The constraint (2) is a conservative reformulation of the constraint (1).

Theorem

If $\Xi = \times_{n=1}^{N} [0, \overline{\xi}_n]$ and the tree of uncertain products is such that every uncertain element is only added to a single node then constraint (1) is equivalent to (2).



Proof of Theorem 1



• The proof of the first theorem relies on showing that $\{(\xi, g_n(\xi)) : \xi \in \Xi\} \subset \overline{\Xi}$.



- The proof of the first theorem relies on showing that $\{(\boldsymbol{\xi}, g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi})) : \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi\} \subset \overline{\Xi}$.
- This uses the fact that for bilinear terms, the nonlinear set {(ξ₁, ξ₂, ξ₁, ξ₂) : ξ ∈ Ξ} is a subset of the lifted set constructed using the McCormick envelopes.



- The proof of the first theorem relies on showing that $\{(\boldsymbol{\xi}, g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi})) : \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi\} \subset \overline{\Xi}$.
- This uses the fact that for bilinear terms, the nonlinear set {(ξ₁, ξ₂, ξ₁, ξ₂) : ξ ∈ Ξ} is a subset of the lifted set constructed using the McCormick envelopes.
- We then show that for every multilinear expression, the product term at each node in the path to the multilinear term is included in the lifted set using induction and the previous bilinear result.



• The proof of the second theorem has the following key steps



- The proof of the second theorem has the following key steps
- Show that in the original constraint we can replace the uncertainty set by its convex hull. This is done using the Caratheodory Theorem.



- The proof of the second theorem has the following key steps
- Show that in the original constraint we can replace the uncertainty set by its convex hull. This is done using the Caratheodory Theorem.
- Show that $Conv(\{(\xi, g_n(\xi)) : \xi \in \Xi\}) \subseteq \overline{\Xi}$. This is true due to Theorem 1.



- The proof of the second theorem has the following key steps
- Show that in the original constraint we can replace the uncertainty set by its convex hull. This is done using the Caratheodory Theorem.
- Show that $Conv(\{(\xi, g_n(\xi)) : \xi \in \Xi\}) \subseteq \overline{\Xi}$. This is true due to Theorem 1.
- Show that $\overline{\Xi} \subseteq Conv(\{(\xi, g_n(\xi)) : \xi \in \Xi\}).$
 - This is done by showing that it is sufficient to show the result for the unit cube.
 - Show that for the unit cube, every extreme point of $\overline{\Xi}$ lies in $Conv(\{(\xi, g_n(\xi)) : \xi \in \Xi\}).$



So far **p** and q_n have been fixed and independent of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$.

$$\mathbf{p}^{ op} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n g_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \geq q_0 \;\; \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi$$



So far **p** and q_n have been fixed and independent of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$.

$$\mathbf{p}^{ op} m{\xi} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_n g_n(m{\xi}) \geq q_0 \;\; orall m{\xi} \in \Xi$$

If \mathbf{p} and q_n are linear or multilinear functions of the uncertainty

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 + \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\xi}, \ q_n = q_n^0 + \mathbf{Q}_n^{\top}\boldsymbol{\xi} \qquad \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 + \mathbf{P}\prod_{j\in\mathcal{J}}\xi_j, \ q_n = q_n^0 + Q_n\prod_{j\in\mathcal{J}_n}\xi_j$$

The constraint remains multilinear and can be reformulated as before.





• We introduce a way to conservatively reformulate robust constraints with multilinear uncertainty.



- We introduce a way to conservatively reformulate robust constraints with multilinear uncertainty.
- We identify conditions in which this reformulation is exact.



- We introduce a way to conservatively reformulate robust constraints with multilinear uncertainty.
- We identify conditions in which this reformulation is exact.
- These results are used to reformulate a robust dynamic capacity management problem which captures queuing behaviour and balking.



- We introduce a way to conservatively reformulate robust constraints with multilinear uncertainty.
- We identify conditions in which this reformulation is exact.
- These results are used to reformulate a robust dynamic capacity management problem which captures queuing behaviour and balking.

Han, E., Sharma, K., Singh, K., and Nohadani, O. Dynamic Capacity Management for Deferred Surgeries



Thank you for your attention!



If Ξ is non-negative, compact and convex and lies in $\times_{k \in [K]} [\underline{\xi}_k, \overline{\xi}_k]$ Then, we can obtain a tighter representation for the lifted uncertainty set using results by Astreicher et al. (2021)

$$\begin{cases} \eta_i \leq \overline{\eta}_i^* \\ \overline{\eta}_i^* (\eta_i - \underline{\xi}_i \underline{\eta}_{\ell(i)})^2 \leq \left(\overline{\eta}_i^* (\xi_i - \underline{\xi}_i) + \underline{\xi}_i (\eta_i - \underline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} \xi_i) \right) \left(\overline{\eta}_i^* (\eta_{\ell(i)} - \underline{\eta}_{\ell(i)}) + \underline{\eta}_{\ell(i)} (\eta_i - \underline{\xi}_i \eta_{\ell(i)}) \right) \end{cases}$$